SI

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

KRAMER AMADOPO

PATENT RESEARCH SERVICES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 6 2007

1725 DUKE STREET
SUITE 240
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
PHONE: (703) 519-9801
FACSIMILE: (703) 519-9802
WWW.KRAMERIP.COM

Fax Memo

TO:

Mail Stop Amendment

USPTO

FAX NO.:

(571) 273-8300

FROM:

Terry W. Kramer

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

DATE:

October 16, 2007

SUBJECT:

U.S. Patent Application

Title: THROTTLING NETWORK MANAGEMENT AND ELEMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MESSAGING

Serial No.: 10/813,300

Attorney Docket No.: ALC 3123

PAGES:

INCLUDING COVER PAGE (7)

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN is intended only for the exclusive use of the individual or entity named above. This facsimile may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this information is NOT the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this information in any way is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please call us immediately and return the original information to us via U.S. Postal Service.

Message: Submitted herewith are the following:

- Transmittal Form (1 page)
- Request for Reconsideration (5 pages)

In the event that the fees submitted herewith are insufficient, please charge any remaining balance, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account Number 50-0578.

P.02

OCT 1 6 2007

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)

Linder the P	Panenwork Reduction Act of 190	Sunn mereni	ne ste réatifrad la racaand l	U.S. Patent and	Trademark (Office:	: through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-003 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC t displays a valid OMB control numbe
VIIIOI UIV	abenius Residuios Am Ul 1955	, A 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Application Number		13,30		CORDIAVA A VAIO ONLY CONTRA MILITA
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Filing Date		March 31, 2004 Rashid Qureshi		
			First Named Inventor	Rashio			
			Art Unit	2616			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name	Kan	Kan Yuen		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 6			Attorney Docket Num	Attorney Docket Number ALC 3123			
		ENC	LOSURES (Che	ck all that appl	(y)		
Fee Trai	nsmittal Form		Drawing(s)			After	Allowance Communication to TC
. 🔲 ,	Fee Attached		Licensing-related Paper	s			al Communication to Board peats and Interferences
✓ Amenda	nent/Reply		Petition			Appe (Appe	al Communication to TC at Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
	After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		<u> </u>		ietary Information
	Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revo Change of Corresponde		1=	_	s Letter
	•		Terminal Disclaimer	nce Address		Other	Enclosure(s) (please Identify
一	on of Time Request					below	·);
Express	Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		1		
informat	ion Disclosure Statement	└	CD, Number of CD(s)				
. Cartiflad	Copy of Priority		Landscape Table	on CD			
Docume		Rema	ITKS				
	Missing Parts/ ete Application						
ءَ الل	Reply to Missing Parts						
LJ U	ınder 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
	SIGNA	TURE (OF APPLICANT, AT	TORNEY	OR AGE	NT	
Firm Name	Kramer & Amado, P.C.		2		JIL AGE		
Signature	6 11	> /		,,,,,,	<u> </u>		
Printed name	/emy W.	//a	m-l				
Date	Terry W. Kramer	•					
Date .	October 16, 2007			Reg. No.	41, 541		
		EDT:P:	ATE OF TO A NOT	100101177			
			CATE OF TRANSM				
hereby certify the sufficient postage he date shown b	e as first class mail in an en	eing facsi. vėlope ad: \!\	mile transmitted to the U dressed to: Commission	SPTO or depos er for Patents, I	sited with the P.O. Box 1	he Un 450, <i>i</i>	ited States Postal Service with Nexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
Signature	() (1711	800				
-							

this collection of information is fergaried by 37 CPR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a borrefit by the public which is to file (and by the U\$PTO to process) an application. Confidentiallty is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the U\$PTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 6 2007

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Rashid Qureshi

For

THROTTLING NETWORK

MANAGEMENT AND ELEMENT

MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MESSAGING

Serial No.

10/813,300

Filed

March 31, 2004

Art Unit

2616

Examiner

Kan Yuen

Att. Docket

ALC 3123

Confirmation No.

4546

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Request is in response to the Office Action dated July 16, 2007, and is believed to be fully responsive to each point of the rejection raised therein. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance of all the claims are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Claims 1-24 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 6, 14, 18, 19 and 24 are independent.

The courtesies extended to Applicant's representative by Examiner Yuen at the interview held, July 30, 2007, are appreciated. The reasons presented at the interview as warranting

Application No: 10/813,300

Attorney's Docket No: ALC 3123

favorable action are incorporated into the remarks below and constitute Applicant's record of the. interview.

Examiner Yuen is thanked for agreeing during the interview that claims 14-18 are allowed. Examiner Yuen is further thanked for memorializing this agreement in the Interview Summary Form mailed August 2, 2007.

Claims 1, 6, 19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by Kalkunte et al. (US 6,115,356) (hereinafter "Kalkunte"). This rejection is respectfully traversed for at least the following reasons.

Claim 1 recites, "receiving an event message associated with a network element; at a point separate from the Qs channel process, determining from at least the fill level whether the event message is to be forwarded; and if the event message is to be forwarded, forwarding the event message to the Qs channel process" (emphasis added).

In contrast, Kalkunte discloses a switch that sends a pause frame to external nodes when a congestion condition is detected (Column 4, lines 13-23, 43-47). The receipt of a pause frame by a node only prevents it from sending data frames (event messages) to the switch (EMS) over a network (Column 1, lines 39-44). Kalkunte does not disclose, teach, or suggest that event messages have already been received by the EMS (i.e. are not prevented from being sent over the network) and a determination is made whether to forward the messages to the Qs channel process.

Claim 6 recites, "an event logger for receiving an event message associated with a network element, for determining from at least the fill level whether the event message is to be

P.05

Application No: 10/813,300 Attorney's Docket No: ALC 3123

forwarded, and for forwarding the event message to the Qs channel process for storage in the at least one proxy buffer in the event that the event message is to be forwarded" (emphasis added).

In contrast, Kalkunte discloses a congestion monitor within a switch that detects the congestion level of output buffers in the switch and sends a pause frame to external nodes if a congestion condition is present (Column 4, lines 13-23, 43-47). The receipt of a pause frame by a node only prevents it from sending data frames (event messages) to the switch (EMS) over a network (Column 1, lines 39-44). Kalkunte does not disclose, teach, or suggest that the congestion monitor or any other component within the switch determines whether the event messages already received are to be forwarded. Furthermore, the transmission of a pause frame is not equivalent to forwarding an event message.

Claim 19 recites, "receiving an event message associated with a network element; determining from at least the fill level whether the event message is to be forwarded; and if the event message is to be forwarded, forwarding the event message to the Qs channel process for storage" (emphasis added). This subject matter recited in claim 19 is essentially identical to the subject matter from claim 1 quoted above. Thus, claim 19 is allowable for the reasons stated above in connection with claim I.

Claim 24 recites, "[a] computer-readable medium storing software for throttling event messages at an element management system (EMS) ... the computer-readable medium comprising: instructions for receiving from the Os channel process a callback message indicative of the fill level; ... instructions for determining from at least the fill level whether the event

Application No: 10/813,300

Attorney's Docket No: ALC 3123

message is to be forwarded; and instructions for forwarding the event message to the Qs channel process for storage ... in the event that the event message is to be forwarded" (emphasis added).

In contrast, Kalkunte discloses a switch sending a pause frame to be received by a node external to the switch (Column 4, lines 43-47). Thus, any instructions for receiving a callback message indicative of the fill level (the pause frame) are stored on the external node. Kalkunte does not disclose, teach, or suggest that the instructions for receiving the message are stored on the EMS (switch). In addition, the receipt of a pause frame by a node only prevents it from sending data frames (event messages) to the switch (EMS) over a network (Column 1, lines 39-44). Kalkunte does not disclose, teach, or suggest that the EMS stores instructions for determining whether the event messages already received should be forwarded.

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1, 6, 19 and 24 as allegedly being anticipated by Kalkunte be withdrawn.

Claims 2-5, 7-13 and 20-23 are allowable based at least on their dependence from claims 1, 6 and 19, respectively, for at least the reasons stated above in connection with the rejection of claims 1, 6 and 19.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejections of record and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited.

While we believe that the instant amendment places the application in condition for allowance, should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions, it is respectfully

Application No: 10/813,300 Attorney's Docket No: ALC 3123

requested that the Examiner contact the correspondence attorney listed below at the telephone number listed below in order to expeditiously resolve any outstanding issues.

In the event that the fees submitted prove to be insufficient in connection with the filing of this paper, please charge our Deposit Account Number 50-0578 and please credit any excess fees to such Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted, KRAMER & AMADO, P.C.

Date: October 16, 2007

Terry W. Kramer

Registration No.: 41,541

KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. 1725 Duke Street, Suite 240 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone: 703-519-9801

Fax: 703-519-9802